Using Learning Outcomes to Enhance the Quality of Engineering Education Programmes

Ian Freeston

Professor Emeritus, University of Sheffield Higher Education Adviser, Engineering Council UK Member of EUR-ACE Label Committee, ENAEE Member of Academic Accreditation Committee, IET Director, Magstim Company Ltd

Using Learning Outcomes to Enhance the Quality of Engineering Education Programmes

Ian Freeston

Professor Emeritus, University of Sheffield Higher Education Adviser, Engineering Council UK Member of EUR-ACE Label Committee, ENAEE Member of Academic Accreditation Committee, IET Director, Magstim Company Ltd

But the presentation is entirely my responsibility and should not be assumed to represent the policies of any of the above organisations.

Ian Freeston.BEng Workshop, Boston, 30 April and 1May, 2012

UK Engineering Council

- Responsible for establishing and maintaining standards of engineering formation
- Maintains a Register of qualified engineers
- Three grades of qualified engineer:
 - Chartered Engineer (CEng)
 - Incorporated Engineer (IEng)
 - Engineering Technician (EngTech)

CEng Formation

- Three parts:
 - > Education to support the competences
 - ➤ Initial Professional Development beginning to apply engineering knowledge
 - Professional experience demonstrating competences
- Typically a minimum of eight years are required to qualify from starting engineering education at 18+
- Competences are assessed at Professional Review which includes a summary of career, reports from three professional engineers, and an interview.

Education

- Accredited engineering degrees to Masters level, either:
 - ➤ BEng (Hons) and MSc

or

- MEng (integrated programme)
- Content and Level of accredited degree programmes is specified in UK-SPEC published by Engineering Council.
- Academic standard of degree (and of HEI quality assurance) must comply with Qualifications Assurance Agency (QAA) requirements.

Learning Outcomes of Accredited Engineering Programmes

- General and Specific Learning Outcomes are detailed in The Accreditation of Higher Education Programmes published by the Engineering Council UK (www.engc.org.uk)
- General Learning Outcomes:
 - Knowledge and Understanding
 - > Intellectual Abilities
 - Practical skills
 - > General transferable skills

Specific Learning Outcomes in Engineering

- Underpinning science and mathematics, and associated engineering disciplines, as defined by the relevant professional engineering institution.
- Engineering Analysis
- Design
- Economic, social and environmental context
- Engineering practice

Professional Engineering Institutions (PEIs)

- Engineering Council UK does not directly assess competences or accredit degree programmes; it licences PEIs to make these evaluations
- Licenced PEIs (more than 20) include:
 - ☐ Institution of Civil Engineers (ICE)
 - ☐ Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE)
 - ☐ Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET)
- PEIs are both regulating bodies and learned societies, with large UK and international membership

Accreditation Procedure

- Threshold decision; does the programme meet the specified standard?
- Self assessment report
- Assessment visit by experts.
- Report to decision making committee
- Decision on accreditation (for a maximum of five years), and any requirements or recommendations.

Good Things about Accreditation

- Mechanism for maintaining agreed standards.
- Accreditors produce a (consulting) report on possible programme development.
- An opportunity to share good practice and to encourage new ideas in content and teaching methods.
- Every accreditation is (should be) a review and test of the standards of the profession.

Common Criticisms

- Cost to HEI of preparing documentation and of hosting accreditation visit.
- The published Learning Outcomes (and any guidelines for interpretation) limit new ideas for programmes and teaching methods.
- It is difficult (impossible) to aggregate the performance of each student to ensure that all the Learning Outcomes have been achieved.
- Accreditation is a means of maintaining the existing standards but not of enhancing them.

Enhancing Standards

- A possible method is to assess the quality of programmes beyond threshold.
- Assess
 - Programme content
 - Level attained
 - Teaching methods
 - Quality of staff
 - Quality of resources
- Using a four point scale:
 - 4 Excellent
 - 3 Very good
 - 2 Good
 - 1 Satisfactory (Threshold)

But ...

- Would it need to be voluntary?
- Should it be separated from accreditation?
- Is a different agency necessary?
- What performance indicators are needed?
- Who would train assessors?
- What are the financial implications?
- Would HEIs strive for a high score?

Any answers (and further questions)?

ifreeston@engc.org.uk